Iranian Journal of Hydrogen & Fuel Cell 1(2014) 47-53

Effect of platinum on ceria supported copper catalysts for PrOx process in fuel processors

Najmeh Hoshyar, Abdullah Irankhah *

*****Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Research Laboratory, Chemical Engineering Department, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran

The preferential oxidation of CO (PrOx) is one of the critical steps in hydrogen production and purification for PEMFC, since the anode catalyst in PEM is strongly poisoned even by ppm level of CO in the H_2 rich gas [1-3]. The CO-PrOx process used after steam reforming and WGS for removal of trace contaminant CO in the H_2 rich gas. Among the current available methods, CO- $\overline{P}rOx$ is most economical and efficient approach

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +98 361 5912439; fax: +98 361 5552930. E-mail address: irankhah@kashanu.ac.ir (A. Irankhah).

to reduce CO to the desired level without excessive hydrogen consumption [4]. The main reactions in CO-PrOx involved are:

CO Oxidation:
$$
CO + 1/2 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2
$$
 (1)

$$
H_2 \text{Consumption:} \quad H_2 + 1/2 \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow H_2 \text{O} \tag{2}
$$

The CO conversions were calculated based on the carbon monoxide consumption in the reaction as follows:

$$
X_{\text{CO}}(\%)=\frac{[\text{CO}_{\text{in}}] - [\text{CO}_{\text{out}}]}{[\text{CO}_{\text{in}}]} \times 100
$$
 (3)

The selectivity of the CO oxidation in the presence of excess hydrogen was calculated from the oxygen mass balance as follows:

$$
Se_{CO_2}(%) = 0.5 \times \frac{[CO_{in}] - [CO_{out}]}{[O_{2in}] - [O_{2out}]} \times 100
$$
 (4)

And, the excess of oxygen factor (λ) is defined as:

$$
\lambda = 2 \times \frac{F_{O_2}^{in}}{F_{CO}^{in}} \tag{5}
$$

where F is the molar flow of the specie. Among the different catalysts, $CuO/CeO₂$ catalysts have been recently proposed as a promising candidate for the selective removal of CO from reformates streams due to their high activity and remarkable selectivity [5]. The well-known enhancement of oxidation activity of copper, when supported on reducible oxides like $CeO₂$, was attributed to a synergistic effect [6]. It is proposed that welldispersed CuO on CeO₂, which is reducible at a lower temperature with respect to bulk CuO, could adsorb CO better. As a result, this catalyst exhibited high activity/selectivity for low-temperature CO oxidation and CO-PrOx [7]. To increase the catalytic activity of the catalysts, many metals have been used as promoter, such as Pt, Au, Zn, Ni, Co … [8-13]. Nowadays, platinum catalysts are not used alone for CO-PrOx process. Several researchers have made use of Pt as catalyst promoter in this process. Junichiro Kugai et al. synthesized Pt-Cu/γ-Fe₂O₃ by a unique radiolytic process and their physical properties and catalytic activity for CO preferential oxidation were investigated for various Pt/Cu atomic ratios. Regardless of the low Pt loading, the sample with 10% Pt and 90% Cu (percents is in atomic) exhibited the highest activity at 100°C, near the 70% CO conversion [14]. Pt-Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared by Eun-Yong Ko et al. with co-impregnation and sequential impregnation method. Comparison between test results of this catalysts showed that the 1% Pt-1.5%Ni/γ- Al_2O_3 prepared by co-impregnation has high activity, about 90%, which is the highest CO conversion with 43% CO_2 selectivity at 100°C [15]. J.L. Ayastuy et al. synthesized Pt-Sn/ γ -Al₂O₃ by sequential impregnation with Pt/Sn atomic ratios of 1.92, 0.53, 0.28, and then studied catalytic

performance in PrOx process. The optimum Pt/ Sn atomic ratio was found to be 0.53. In a wide range of performance with respect to temperature, the catalyst with optimum Pt to Sn ratio showed a maximum CO conversion of 78% for $\lambda = 2$ with constant selectivity (about 40%) and with 31%CO yield [16]. Hernan P. Bideberripe et al. studied a bimetallic Pt-Ge catalyst prepared by a controlled surface reaction (ion exchange) and studied the PrOx performance of this catalyst. The good activity of the bimetallic catalyst can be assigned to the presence of a "noble metal-oxidized metal promoter" ensemble site in close contact, the noble metal (Pt) being the CO adsorption site and the oxidized metal promoter (Ge) the O_2 adsorption site. CO conversions of these catalysts were about 55% at 230°C with 50% CO_2 selectivity [17]. CeO_2 -supported Pt-Cu bimetallic catalysts were synthesized by J. Kugai et al. with radiolytic process and their PROX activities were evaluated in relation to structural properties of the catalysts. These Pt-Cu bimetallic catalysts showed much lower light-off temperature than monometallic Cu. The best CO-PrOx performance related to $Pt_{10}Cu_{90}$ catalyst [18]. Due to good activity of copper catalysts and positive effect of platinum, in this study the effect of Pt addition to performance of ceria supported Cu catalysts in CO-PrOx process was investigated. $Cu-Pt/CeO₂$ catalyst was synthesized by sequential impregnation method in order to study the CO preferential oxidation performance and compare it with copper catalyst. In addition, the effect of catalyst synthesis $Cu-Pt/CeO₂$ bimetallic was evaluated in comparison with the physical mixture of Cu and Pt catalysts. Sequential impregnated method has not been used for Cu-Pt/ $CeO₂$ catalyst in previous studies. The purpose of this work is enhanced catalytic activity of copper catalysts.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

In this work, copper nitrate [Cu(NO3)2.3H2O, Merck, 99.5%], hexa-chloro-platinic acid [H2PtCl6.6H2O, Merck, 40%], cerium nitrate $[Ce(NO3)3.6H2O, Fluka, 99.5%]$ and commercial ceria [CeO2, Loba chemie, 99.95%] as the precursors and ammonia [NH3, Merck, 25%] as the precipitate agent have been used. All these substances were pure and required no further purification.

2.2. Catalysts Preparation

Monometallic catalysts 7% Cu/CeO₂ and 0.5%Pt/ $CeO₂$ were prepared by impregnation from aqueous solution of copper nitrate, hexa-chloro-platinic acid and commercial ceria to compare the activities in CO-PrOx process. These catalysts were dried for 12h at 120°C and then calcined in air at 500°C for 4 h.

Ceria support was prepared by precipitation method, cerium nitrate as precursor and ammonia as precipitate agent and the resulting suspension was left to settle for 6h. The precipitate was filtered and washed with deionized water, and then the ceria cake dried overnight at 120°C. The dried precipitate was calcined at 600°C for 6h.

 $Cu-Pt/CeO₂$ catalyst with 7 wt.% Cu and 0.5 wt.% Pt were synthesized by sequential impregnation method on ceria prepared by precipitation method; the precursor was dried for 12h at 120°C and later calcined in air at 500°C for 4h between the two impregnation steps.

2.3. Catalysts Analysis

The XRD patterns were recorded on an X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical X'Pert-Pro) using a Cu K_a monochromatized radiation source and a Ni filter in the range $2\theta = 20-80^{\circ}$.

The surface areas (BET), mean pore diameter and total pore volume were determined by nitrogen adsorption at -196.15°C using an automated gas adsorption analyzer (Gimini, Micromeritics). Inlet and outlet gases were analyzed by a Shimadzu-8A chromatograph equipped with a TCD, Carbosieve column, and GC data's analyzed by Chromanit software.

2.4. Catatest

Activity test were carried out at 60-200°C temperature range and atmospheric pressure in a fix bed quartz reactor (8 mm inner diameter). Also, 120 mg catalyst with 40-60 mesh was charged in the reactor for each test. The feed composition was selected based on reformate composition after WGS process and contains large amount of H_2 , small amount of CO (1-2%) and suitable amount of O_2 according to stoichiometric ratio. In this work, feed gas composition was 2% CO, 2% O₂ and 96% H₂ (vol.%, dry basis). The total flow rate was 40 ml/min corresponding to a space velocity of 20000 h-1. The catalysts pretreated at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h in O_2 stream with a flow rate of 20 ml/min before the tests. An scheme of setup

(catatest) system is shown in Figure 1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalyst Characterization

The XRD patterns of $CeO₂$ and $Cu-Pt/CeO₂$ catalysts are presented in Figure 2. The crystalline mean particle sizes of catalyst have been determined by the X-ray broadening technique employing the Scherrer formula as:

$$
d_{XRD} = \frac{0.9 \, \text{w1}}{FWHM \cos \theta} \tag{6}
$$

Where *wl* is the X-ray wavelength (1.5406 Å), *FWHM* (in Rad) is the full width at half maximum of the characteristic peak (111) of sample and θ is the diffraction angle for the (111) plane. For Cu-Pt/CeO₂ sample, the peak of Pt not specified because Pt loading is less than $1.0 \text{ wt.}\%$, it means Pt loading onto Cu catalyst does not affect the crystal phase of catalyst. For ceria, the main characteristic peaks are associated with the Face-Centered Cubic (FCC) fluorite structure. The main peak ($2\theta = 28.8^{\circ}$) corresponds to the (111) reflection of ceria and average calculated crystal size of ceria was 17 nm. The main peak ($2\theta =$ 35 and 38°) corresponds to the CuO and average calculated crystal size was 19 nm for 7%CuO/ $CeO₂$ and 7%Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂.

The surface area of synthesized $CeO₂$ by precipitation method was 74.5 m^2/g , total pore volume $0.18 \text{ m}^3/\text{g}$ and average pore diameter about 9.6 nm. As can be seen in Figure 3(a), adsorption/ desorption isotherm of ceria is relatively narrow, this proves that the fine particles are obtained

that makes the large surface area and resulting in high catalytic activity of catalyst containing this support. Figure 3(b) shows the pore size distribution of the $CeO₂$, which is less than 10 nm and about 5nm.

Figure 2: XRD patterns of the samples (a) CeO² and (b) 7%Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂.

Figure 3: BET patterns of the CeO² support (a) Adsorption/desorption isotherm and (b) Pore size distribution.

3.2. Catalyst Activity

3.2.1. Comparison of Cu and Pt

For comparison of Cu and Pt catalyst activity in the CO-PrOx process, two samples with 7% Cu and 0.5% Pt on commercial ceria were synthesized by impregnation method at similar conditions. CO conversion and CO_2 selectivity of these catalysts shows in Figure 4. As shown in Figure 4, CO conversion of Pt catalyst was very lower than Cu catalyst, but CO_2 selectivity of this sample was more than Cu catalyst. Therefore, selection of Cu as main active site in catalyst is correct. It is noteworthy that Pt catalysts performance strongly dependent on the support and with specification changes of support changed.

Figure 4: CO conversion and CO² selectivity of Cu and Pt catalysts. Operating conditions: 96% H₂, 2% CO, 2% O₂, λ = 2 & GHSV = 20000 h-1.

3.2.2. Effect of Pt on Cu Catalyst Performance

Based on the our results and studies, Pt catalysts singly not suitable in CO-PrOx process operation because of lower CO conversion toward some catalysts such as Cu catalysts, moreover, the cost of platinum catalysts are very more than Cu catalysts and use of Pt as main active site isn't affordable. So, in this study, the $CuO/CeO₂$ was selected as primary catalyst and the effect of Pt as promoter of Cu catalyst investigated. Therefore, 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ catalyst synthesized by sequential impregnation method and was tested in operation conditions explained. Catalytic activity of this catalyst in comparison with 7%Cu/ $CeO₂$ shows in Figure 5. CO conversion of Cu-Pt catalyst in low temperature $(\leq 110^{\circ}C)$ was much more than Cu catalyst and this increase due to the positive effect of adding Pt. For example, CO conversion of 7% Cu/CeO₂ at 80° C was 50%, while in 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ was more than 90%, that due to synergistic effect of Pt and Cu in low temperatures. In $100\degree C$, CO conversion of 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ reached to 96% with 48% CO₂ selectivity. The use of platinum as a Cu catalysts promoter at high temperature is not recommended, because of reverse WGS reaction, carbon monoxide and water produced and finally CO conversion and CO_2 selectivity decreased.

Figure 5: Activity of Cu and Cu-Pt catalysts. Operating conditions: 96% H² , 2% CO, 2% O² , λ = 2, GHSV = 20000 h-1 .

3.2.3. Physical Mixing of Cu and Pt Catalyst

To evaluate the effectiveness of synthesis catalyst in comparison with physical mixing of Cu and Pt catalysts, the catalytic test carried out with 10 wt.% of 0.5%Pt/CeO₂ and 90 wt.% of 7%Cu/ $CeO₂$ catalyst, these catalysts were in 40-60 mesh and mixed Physically. Figure 6 shows that even the physical addition of a platinum catalyst at low temperatures has increased the CO conversion of Cu catalysts. However, by synthesize of Cu-Pt/CeO₂ catalyst with sequential impregnation method, the better results achieved due to proper distribution of active sites and better contact between metals.

Figure 6: performance of mixed and synthesized catalysts. Operating conditions: 96% H_2 , 2% CO, 2% O₂, $\lambda = 2$, and GHSV = 20000 h⁻¹.

Figure 7 shows the CO conversion and $CO₂$ selectivity of 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ catalyst synthesized by sequential impregnation method in this work and that of $Pt_{10}Cu_{90}/CeO_2$ by J. Kugay et al. through radiolytic process [18]. As shown in Figure 7, the rate of CO conversion of impregnated catalyst is more than $Pt_{10}Cu_{90}/CeO_2$ catalyst. For example, CO conversion of our catalyst is 96%, 36% higher than $Pt_{10}Cu_{20}/CeO_2$ catalyst at 100°C, CO_2 selectivity of 7%Cu-0.5%Pt/ CeO₂ catalyst

less than $Pt_{10}Cu_{90}/CeO_2$ catalyst, however, the catalytic activity in CO-PrOx process is more important to remove trace amount of CO from produced hydrogen.

4. Conclusion

The preferential oxidation (PrOx) process is one of the most effective methods for the removal of CO trace from the reformate stream. CO-PrOx is a process to convert CO in a H_2 rich gas mixture to $CO₂$ with minimum H₂ consumption. Ceria supported Cu catalysts are of the best catalysts for this process. In this study, $7\%Cu/CeO₂$ catalyst was prepared by impregnation method and 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ catalyst was synthesized by sequential impregnation to investigate the effect of Pt as promoter. Results indicated that the addition of Pt increased the CO conversion at low temperatures (< 110° C), but CO₂ selectivity decreased. Therefore, platinum is useful when CO-PrOx process is necessarily carried out at low temperatures, which with the addition of only 0.5% Pt, CO conversion increases nearly 40% due to synergistic effect of Cu and Pt. At 100°C,

CO conversion of 7% Cu-0.5%Pt/CeO₂ reached 96% with 48% CO_2 selectivity, a significant progress in the results in comparison with that of other researchers. At higher temperatures (>110°C), due to reverse WGS reaction, carbon monoxide and water are produced, and finally, CO conversion and $CO₂$ selectivity decreased.

5. References:

[1] W. Y. Yu, W. S. Lee, C. P. Yang, "Low-temperature preferential oxidation of CO in a hydrogen rich stream (PROX) over $Au/TiO₂$: Thermodynamic study and effect of gold-colloid pH adjustment time on catalytic activity," J. Chinese Institute of Chemical Engineers, vol. 38, pp. 151- 160, 2007.

[2] C. S. Polster, H. Nair, C. D. Baertsch, "Study of active sites and mechanism responsible for highly selective CO oxidation in H_2 rich atmospheres on a mixed Cu and Ce oxide catalyst," J. Catalysis, vol. 266, pp. 308–319, 2009.

[3] Dr. P. Ferreira- Aparicio, M. J. Benito, J. L. Sanz, "New Trends in Reforming Technologies: from Hydrogen Industrial Plants to Multifuel Microreformers," Science and Engineering, vol. 47:4, pp. 491-588, 2007.

[4] Z. Wu, H. Zhu, Z. Qin, "CO preferential oxidation in H₂-rich stream over a CuO/CeO₂ catalyst with high H_2O and CO_2 tolerance," J. Fuel, vol. 104, pp. 41-45, 2013.

[5] A. Mishra, R. Prasad, "A Review on Preferential Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide in Hydrogen Rich Gases," Chemical Reaction Engineering & Catalysis, vol. 6, pp. 1-14, 2011.

[6] Ramaswamy, V., Malwadkar, S. and Chilukuri, "Effect of method of preparation on catalytic activity in the preferential oxidation of carbon monoxide," Applied Catalysis B: Environ, vol. 84, pp. 21-29, 2008.

[7] Liu, Y., Fu, Q. and Stephanopoulos, "Preferential oxidation of CO in H₂ over CuO - CeO ₂ catalysts," Catalyst Today, vol. 93-95, pp. 241-246, 2004.

[8] H. Yang, C. Wang, B. Li, "Doping effects of Ni–MgO on the structure and performance of carbon nanotube-supported Pt catalysts for preferential oxidation of CO in a H_2 stream," J. Applied Catalysis A: General, vol. 402, pp. 168– 175, 2011.

[9] J.S.L.Fonseca, et al, "Cooperative effect between copper and gold on ceria for CO-PROX reaction," J. Catalyst Today, vol. 180, pp. 34-41, 2012.

[10] T. S. Mozer, A. Dziuba, T.P. Vieira, "The effect of copper on the selective carbon monoxide oxidation over alumina supported gold catalysts," J. Power Sources, vol. 187, pp. 209–215, 2009.

[11] E.Moretti, et al., "Preferential CO oxidation $(CO-PROX)$ over $CuO-ZnO/TiO₂$ catalysts," J. Applied Catalysis A: General, vol. 344, pp. 165– 174, 2008.

[12] Q. Zhang, X. Liu, "Manganese-promoted cobalt oxide as efficient and stable non-noble metal catalyst for preferential oxidation of CO in H2 stream," J. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, vol. 102, pp. 207–214, 2011.

[13] Z. Zhao, X. Lin, R. Jin, "High catalytic activity in CO PROX reaction of low cobalt-oxide loading catalysts supported on nano-particulate $CeO₂-ZrO₃$ oxides," J. Catalysis Communications, vol. 12, pp. 1448–1451, 2011.

[14] J. Kugai, R. Kitagawa, S. Seino, "γ-Fe₂O₃supported Pt-Cu nanoparticles synthesized by radiolytic process for catalytic CO preferential oxidation," J. Applied Catalysis A: General, vol. 406, pp. 43–50, 2011.

[15] E-Y Ko, E. D. Park, K. W. Seo, "Pt–Ni/γ- Al_2O_3 catalyst for the preferential CO oxidation in the hydrogen stream," J. Catalysis Letters, vol. 110, pp. 3–4, 2006.

[16] S J. L. Ayastuy, M. P. Gonzalez-Marcos, M. A. Gutierrez, "Promotion effect of Sn in aluminasupported Pt catalysts for CO-PROX," Catalysis Communications, vol. 12, pp. 895–900, 2011.

[17] H. P. Bideberripe, J. M. Ramallo-Lopez, S. J. A. Figuero, "Ge-modified $Pt/SiO₂$ catalysts used in preferential CO oxidation (CO-PROX)," J. Catalysis Communications, vol. 12, pp. 1280– 1285, 2011.

[18] J. Kugai, T. Moriya, S. Seino, "CeO₂-supported Pt-Cu alloy nanoparticles synthesized by radiolytic process for highly selective CO oxidation," J. Hydrogen Energy, vol. 37, pp. 4787- 4797, 2012.